ORIGINAL



RECEIVED

STAFF REPORT UTILITIES DIVISION

30

2006 AUG -4 P 3: 46 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Provide Resold

AZ CORRECTED Service and for Determination that Services of the Applicant are

Competitive

Applicant: 800 Response Information Services LLC

Docket No.: T-20381A-05-0493

On July 12, 2005, 800 Response Information Services LLC ("800" or "Applicant") filed an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide resold interexchange services in Arizona.

Staff's review of this application addresses the overall fitness of the Applicant to receive a CC&N to provide competitive resold intrastate interexchange telecommunications services. Staff's review considers the Applicant's technical and financial capabilities, and whether the Applicant's proposed rates will be just and reasonable.

REVIEW OF APPLICANT INFORMATION Staff makes the following finding, indicated by an "X," regarding information filed by the Applicant: The necessary information has been filed to process this Application, and the Applicant has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona. The Applicant has published legal notice of the Application in all counties where service will be provided. On August 29, 2005, Applicant filed Affidavits of Publication in the counties where the authority to provide resold long distance telecommunications services is requested.

REVIEW OF TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The Applicant has demonstrated sufficient technical	capability	to provide	the proposed
services for the following reasons, which are marked:		_	

The Applicant is currently providing service in Arizona.

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

The Applicant is currently providing service in other states.

AUG -4 2006

DOCKÉTED BY NE

X The Applicant is a switchless reseller.

In the event the Applicant experiences financial difficulty, end users can access other interexchange service providers.

The Applicant indicated that it currently offers resold interexchange service in 44 states, excluding Arizona. The Applicant indicates that it has four key employees with combined experience of 64 years in the telecommunications industry. Staff contacted twenty two (22) state PUCs to determine if 800 is certificated or registered to provide resold long distance telecommunications services in the states listed by the Applicant. Staff also inquired if there were any consumer complaints against 800. Based on this information, Staff has determined that the Applicant has sufficient technical capabilities to provide resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

REVIEW OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Applicant is required to have a performance bond to provide resold interexchange service in the State of Arizona.

The Applicant did provide unaudited financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2005. These financial statements list assets of \$295,671; equity of \$65,661; and a net income of \$16,885. The Applicant did not provide notes related to the financial statements.

The Applicant stated in its Tariff, Section 2.8 on page 15, that it does not collect deposits. The tariff does not indicate that Applicant collects advances and/or prepayments from its resold interexchange customers. If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect advances, deposits and/or prepayments from its resold interexchange customers, Staff recommends that the Applicant be required to file an application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for Commission approval. Such application must reference the decision in this docket and must explain the applicant's plans for procuring a performance bond.

If this Applicant experiences financial difficulty, there should be minimal impact to the customers of this Applicant because there are many companies that provide resold interexchange telecommunications service or the customers may choose a facilities-based provider. The Applicant is proposing to provide only "800" toll free telecommunications services. The caller making the "800" toll free call does not need the ability to dial a 1+ or 101XXXX (dial around) access code. The Applicant's customer pays for call made and received by them via the toll-free number assigned to the customer instead of the caller paying for the call. If the Applicant desires to provide other telecommunications services other than "800" toll free service call, Staff recommends that the Applicant file an application with the Commission and affirm that the Applicant's customers will be able to access alternative toll service providers to resellers via 101XXXX access code. In the longer term, the customer may permanently switch to another company.

The Applicant indicated that none of its officers, directors or partners had been involved in any civil or criminal investigations, formal or informal complaints. The Applicant also indicated that none of its officers, directors or partners had been convicted of any criminal acts in the past ten (10) years.

REVIEW OF PROPOSED TARIFF AND FAIR VALUE DETERMINATION

The Applicant has filed a proposed tariff with the Commission.

The Applicant has filed sufficient information with the Commission to make a fair value determination.

The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services. In general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation. Staff obtained information from the Applicant and has determined that its fair value rate base is zero. Accordingly, the Applicant's fair value rate base is too small to be useful in a fair value analysis. Staff has reviewed the rates to be charged by the Applicant and believes they are just and reasonable as they are comparable to several long distance carriers operating in Arizona and comparable to the rates the Applicant charges in other jurisdictions. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate base information submitted by the Applicant, the fair value rate base information provided should not be given substantial weight in this analysis.

COMPETITIVE SERVICES' RATES AND CHARGES

Competitive Services

X

The Applicant is a reseller of services it purchases from other telecommunications companies. It is not a monopoly provider of service nor does it control a significant portion of the telecommunications market. The Applicant cannot adversely affect the intrastate interexchange market by restricting output or raising market prices. In addition, the entities from which the Applicant buys bulk services are technically and financially capable of providing alternative services at comparable rates, terms, and conditions. Staff has concluded that the Applicant has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the Applicant's proposed tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable.

Effective Rates

The Commission provides pricing flexibility by allowing competitive telecommunication service companies to price their services at or below the maximum rates contained in their tariffs as long as the pricing of those services complies with Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-1109. The Commission's rules require the Applicant to file a tariff for each competitive

service that states the maximum rate as well as the effective (actual) price that will be charged for the service. In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its tariff for a competitive service, Staff recommends that the rate stated be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate. Any changes to the Applicant's effective price for a service must comply with A.A.C. R14-2-1109.

Minimum and Maximum Rates

A.A.C. R14-2-1109 (A) provides that minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services must not be below the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing the services. The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its most recent tariffs on file with the Commission. Any future changes to the maximum rates in the Applicant's tariffs must comply with A.A.C. R14-2-1110.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff has reviewed the Application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to offer intrastate interexchange services as a reseller and the Applicant's petition to classify its intrastate interexchange services as competitive. Based on its evaluation of the Applicant's technical and financial capabilities to provide resold intrastate interexchange services, Staff recommends approval of the Application. In addition, Staff further recommends that:

- 1. The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
- 2. The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
- 3. The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
- 4. The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
- 5. The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;
- 6. The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations including, but not limited to customer complaints;
- 7. The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to the Arizona Universal Service Fund, as required by the Commission;

- 8. The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to the Applicant's name address or telephone number;
- 9. If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect advances, deposits and/or prepayments from its resold interexchange customers, Staff recommends that the Applicant be required to file an application with the Commission for Commission approval. Such application must reference the decision in this docket and must explain the applicant's plans for procuring its performance bond;
- 10. The Applicant's intrastate interexchange service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
- 11. The maximum rates for these services should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109;
- 12. In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate;
- 13. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services. In general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation. Staff obtained information from the Applicant and has determined that its fair value rate base is zero. Accordingly, the Applicant's fair value rate base is too small to be useful in a fair value analysis. Staff has reviewed the rates to be charged by the Applicant and believes they are just and reasonable as they are comparable to several long distance carriers operating in Arizona and comparable to the rates the Applicant charges in other jurisdictions. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate base information submitted by the Applicant, the fair value rate base information provided should not be given substantial weight in this analysis;
- 14. If the Applicant desires to provide other telecommunications services other than "800" toll free service call, Staff recommends that the Applicant file an application with the Commission and affirm that the Applicant's customers will be able to access alternative toll service providers to resellers via 101XXXX access; and
- 15. In the event the Applicant requests to discontinue and/or abandon its service area it must provide notice to both the Commission and its customers. Such notice(s) shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-1107.

Staff recommends that the Applicant be ordered to comply with the following. If it does not do so, the Applicant's CC&N shall be null and void after due process.

1. The Applicant shall docket conforming tariffs within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to providing service, which ever comes first, and in accordance with the Decision.

This application may be approved without a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-282.

For Ernest G. Johnson

Director

Utilities Division

Originator: John F. Bostwick

SERVICE LIST FOR: 800 Response Information Services LLC DOCKET NO. T-20381A-05-0493

Mr. Robert Cleary 800 Response Information Services LLC Telecom Plaza 200 Church Street Burlington, Vermont 05401

Mr. Christopher C. Kempley Chief, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Lyn Farmer Chief, Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007